Pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic evaluation of ivosidenib or enasidenib combined with intensive induction and consolidation chemotherapy in patients with newly diagnosed *IDH1/2*-mutant AML

Yue Chen¹, Feng Yin¹, Lei Hua¹, Caroline Almon¹, Salah Nabhan¹, Michael Cooper¹, Mohammad Hossain¹, Hua Yang¹, Bin Fan¹

¹Agios Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Cambridge, MA, USA

Email: medinfo@agios.com

BACKGROUND

- Isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 and 2 (IDH1/2) are critical metabolic enzymes
- Somatic IDH1/2 mutations occur in multiple solid and hematologic tumors, including ~20% of cases of acute myeloid leukemia (AML)¹
- Mutant IDH1/2 (mIDH1/2) proteins possess novel enzymatic activity, catalyzing the reduction of α-ketoglutarate to produce the oncometabolite, D-2-hydroxyglutarate (2-HG),^{2,3} which drives multiple oncogenic processes, including impaired cellular differentiation⁴⁻⁶
- Ivosidenib (IVO) and enasidenib (ENA) are first-in-class, oral, potent, reversible, and selective inhibitors of the mIDH1 and mIDH2 enzymes, respectively
- Both IVO and ENA have been shown to lower 2-HG concentrations and restore cellular differentiation^{7.8}
- IVO is approved in the US for the treatment of AML with a susceptible *IDH1* mutation as detected by an FDA-approved test in adults with newly diagnosed AML who are ≥ 75 years of age or who have comorbidities that preclude the use of intensive induction chemotherapy, and in adults with relapsed or refractory AML
- ENA is approved in the US for the treatment of relapsed or refractory AML with a susceptible *IDH2* mutation as detected by an FDA-approved test in adult patients
- Here we report pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic (PK/PD) data from a phase 1 trial of either IVO or ENA combined with intensive induction and consolidation chemotherapy in patients with newly diagnosed AML and m/DH1 or m/DH2, respectively

OBJECTIVES

- To characterize the plasma PK profiles of IVO and ENA given in combination with intensive induction and consolidation chemotherapy for the treatment of patients with newly diagnosed AML
- To evaluate the PK/PD relationships of IVO and ENA given in combination with intensive induction and consolidation chemotherapy for the treatment of patients with newly diagnosed AML

METHODS

- This was a multicenter, open-label, phase 1 study enrolling patients
 ≥ 18 years of age with newly diagnosed m/DH1 or m/DH2 AML
 (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT02632708)
- Schedules for drug administration and sampling for PK/PD assessments are outlined in Figure 1
- Blood samples for full PK/PD analysis were collected on induction Cycle (C) 1 Day (D) 1 and C1D14, and consolidation C1D1
- An alternative ENA schedule was assessed, in which blood samples were collected on induction C1D8 and C1D21, and consolidation C1D1
- Pre dose PK/PD samples were collected during the maintenance phase

RESULTS (CONTINUED)

METHODS (CONTINUED)

Figure 1. Study design and sampling schedule

INDUCTION

(1-2 cycles)

IVO 500 mg QD

ARA-C +

DNR or IDR

ENA 100 mg QD initiated C1D1 + ARA-C + DNR or IDR

Alternative ENA

00 mg QD initiate

DNR or IDR

C1D1 C1D8^b C1D14 C1D21^b C1D1

66 22 58 66 22 48 Serial PK/PD

During induction and consolidation, sample collection occurred pre dose and at 0.5, 2, 4, 6, 8, and 24 hr post dose Sampling for alternative ENA schedule (initiated C1D8) only

Pre dose sampling only ARA-C = cytarabine; DNR = daunorubicin; IDR = idarubicin; ME = mitoxantrone with etoposide; QD = once daily

PK/PD analyses were performed using a validated version of

IVO and ENA were rapidly absorbed, with median peak plasma

concentrations at 4 hr following single and multiple doses (Table 1)

- Exposure at steady state was higher than after a single dose,

with mean estimated accumulation ratios (Racc; calculated as

induction C1D14 / induction C1D1) of 2.4 and 8.3 using the area

under the plasma concentration-time curve from time 0 to 24 hr

concentration (Cmax) for IVO and ENA, respectively, following

 On the basis of trough concentrations (C_{trough}) across treatment cycles. PK steady state was achieved within 14 days of continuous

- For IVO, mean Ctrough decreased upon reaching consolidation

- For ENA, steady state was maintained upon reaching

therapy, and the lower plasma levels compared with induction

therapy remained constant throughout the maintenance phase

consolidation: there were insufficient data available during the

maintenance phase ($n \le 3$) to determine any meaningful trends

(AUC₀₋₂₄), and 1.7 and 6.3 using the maximum observed plasma

Plasma concentrations of IVO and ENA were measured using a

validated liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry method

Plasma and bone marrow concentrations of 2-HG were measured

using gualified liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry

+ + +

Screening Patients

with newly

diagnosed

m/DH1/2

ΔMI

(N = 153)

Patients

sampled

methods

Phoenix[®] WinNonlin[®] 7.0

14 days of QD dosing

dosing for both IVO and ENA (Figure 2)

RESULTS

ENA

CONSOLIDATION

IVO 500 mg QD

ARA-C or ME

ENA 100 mg OD

+ ARA-C or ME

MAINTENANCE

IVO

500 mg QD

FNΔ

100 mg QD

+ +

Every 12 weeks^c

Table 1. Summary of PK/PD parameters after multiple doses of IVO or ENA in combination with induction

chemotherapy		
	IVO N = 50ª	ENA N = 75 ^b
C _{max} , mean (CV%), ng / mL	7650 (40.5) n = 50	8200 (40.4) n = 75
T _{max} , median (min, max), hr	3.92 (0.52, 22.75) n = 50	4.18 (0, 23.75) n = 75
AUC ₀₋₂₄ , mean (CV%), hr•ng / mL	137,000 (44.6) n = 44	161,000 (40.4) n = 55
Racc AUC ₀₋₂₄	2.4 n = 38	8.3° n = 38
Racc C _{max}	1.7 n = 49	6.3° n = 53
2-HG inhibition, % (CV%)	90.4 (23.0) n = 49	84.2 (27.9) ^{c,d}

*PK/PD parameters for IVO at induction C1D14

^bPK/PD parameters for combined ENA schedules at induction C1D14 and C1D21

Numbers shows how plote represent numbers of patients

^cFor standard ENA schedule at induction C1D14 ^d2-HG inhibition for alternative ENA schedule at C1D21 was 82.6% (CV 31.9%; n = 18)

CV = coefficient of variation; T_{max} = time at maximum observed plasma concentration

Figure 2. Plasma concentrations over time of IVO or ENA in combination with chemotherapy



- Plasma 2-HG concentrations were elevated at baseline and decreased after both single and multiple doses of the IVO or ENA combination regimens (Figure 3)
- After multiple doses, mean trough plasma 2-HG concentrations decreased to within the range observed in healthy volunteers (up to 99% inhibition),⁹ and 2-HG inhibition was maintained throughout continued IVO or ENA dosing
- Mean trough bone marrow 2-HG concentrations also decreased (up to 99% inhibition) after multiple doses of the IVO or ENA combination regimens



- Exploratory analyses of the relationship between plasma IVO/ENA PK parameters and inhibition of plasma 2-HG at induction C1D14 are shown in Figure 4
- For overall plasma IVO C_{trough} values observed, plasma 2-HG percent inhibition based on the observed response value at the end of a dosing interval (R_{trough}) was mostly within the range of 95–100%
- For overall plasma ENA C_{trough} values observed, plasma 2-HG percent inhibition (R_{trough}) was within the range of 60–100%

 Exploratory analyses of visit-matched plasma and bone marrow samples showed that overall, 2-HG concentrations in bone marrow correlated with those in plasma following multiple daily doses of IVO or ENA in combination with induction and consolidation chemotherapy (Figure 5)







CONCLUSIONS

- When combined with intensive induction and consolidation chemotherapy in patients with newly diagnosed m/DH1/2 AML, IVO and ENA demonstrated PK profiles similar to those observed with their use as single agents,^{10,11} with high plasma exposures relative to those needed for target inhibition
- PK/PD profiles of IVO and ENA were also similar to those estimated in previous studies,^{10,11} and appeared to be similar across the combination cohorts

Plasma concentrations of 2-HG were reduced to within the range found in healthy volunteers, as observed in studies of these inhibitors given as single agents

 In spite of the modest decrease in IVO pre dose concentrations following the completion of induction therapy, mean trough plasma 2-HG concentrations remained within the range observed in healthy volunteers

Acknowledgments We would like to thank the patients taking part in this study. We thank Michelle Jia and Kha Le for their contributions to this work. Disclosures This study was funded by Agios Pharmaceuticals, Inc. in collaboration with Bristol-Myers Squibb. YC, FY, CA, SN, MC, MH, and HY: Agios – employee and stockholder at time of this study. Editorial assistance was provided by David Pertab, PhD, Excel Medical Affairs, Glasgow, UK, and supported by Agios. References 1. Medicines 60: et al. Nature 2017;31:272–81. 2. Dang L et al. Nature 2009;452:739–44. 3. Ward PS et al. Cancer Cell 2011;19:172–30. 7. DiNardo CD et al. Nature 2014;513:110–4. 6. Xu W et al. Cancer Cell 2011;19:172–30. 7. DiNardo CD et al. Nature 2017;30:272–31. 4. Fan B et al. Invest New Drugs 2020;38:433–44. 10. Fan B et al. Cancer Cell 2011;19:172–30. 7. DiNardo CD et al. Nature 2014;513:110–4. 6. Xu W et al. Cancer Cell 2011;19:172–30. 7. DiNardo CD et al. Nature 2017;30:272–31.

Presented at the Virtual Edition of the 25th European Hematology Association (EHA) Annual Congress, June 11–14, 2020

EP620